

1 Donald J. Kula (Bar No. 144342)
2 dkula@perkinscoie.com
3 PERKINS COIE LLP
4 1888 Century Park E #1700
5 Los Angeles, California 90067
6 Telephone: (310) 788-9900
7 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

E-FILED 12/14/15

LINK #41 (12/21 HRG OFF)

JS-6

5 James G. Snell (Bar No. 173070)
6 jsnell@perkinscoie.com
7 Joshua A. Reiten (Bar No. 238985)
8 JReiten@perkinscoie.com
9 PERKINS COIE LLP
10 505 Howard Street, Suite 1000
11 San Francisco, California 94105
12 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
13 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050

10 Debra R. Bernard, *pro hac vice*
11 dbernard@perkinscoie.com
12 PERKINS COIE LLP
13 1315 Dearborn Street Suite 1700
14 Chicago, IL 60603-5559
15 Telephone: (312) 324-8559
16 Facsimile: (312) 324-9559

14 Attorneys for Defendant
15 Uber Technologies, Inc.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18

19 ASFIKE KOLLOUKIAN, on behalf of
20 herself and those similarly situated,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. CV 15-2856-PSG-JEM

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY
PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL
OF THE FCC'S OMNIBUS ORDER
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT
OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA AND *SPOKEO V.*
ROBINS

25 Having fully considered all relevant documents, authorities, and evidence
26 presented by Plaintiff Asfike Kolloukian and Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.,
27 the Court concludes that staying this litigation pending a decision from the United
28

1 States Supreme Court in *Spokeo v. Robins*, 135 S. Ct. 1892 (2015), and from the
 2 United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *ACA Int'l v. Fed.*
 3 *Commc'ns Comm'n*, No. 15-1211 (D.C. Cir. filed July 10, 2015) (and consolidated
 4 cases), is warranted by the facts and circumstances of this case and the issues raised
 5 in the pending appeals.

6 Specifically, the Court finds that a stay will conserve the Court's and the
 7 parties' resources by simplifying the issues in question, streamlining the resolution
 8 of substantive issues, and reducing the burden on the parties and the Court. A stay
 9 will not create any undue prejudice to Plaintiff and the modest delay caused by the
 10 granted stay is reasonable in light of the implications the appellate decisions may
 11 have on this litigation.

12 For these reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

13 1. Defendant's Motion To Stay Proceedings is GRANTED.

14 2. This action is stayed until the United States Court Of Appeals for the
 15 District of Columbia issues a decision in *ACA Int'l v. Fed. Commc'ns Comm'n*, No.
 16 15-1211 (D.C. Cir. filed July 10, 2015) (petition for review) (and consolidated
 17 cases), and the United States Supreme Court issues a decision in *Spokeo v. Robins*,
 18 135 S. Ct. 1892 (2015).

19 The case is administratively closed and may be reopened by application by
 20 any party.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: 12/14/15

23 **PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ**

24 THE HONORABLE PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ
 25 United States District Judge